Drift Compression and Final Focus of Intense Heavy Ion Beams

Hong Qin¹, Ronald C. Davidson¹, John J. Barnard² and Edward P. Lee³

1 Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University 2 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 3 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Presented at the 2003 Particle Accelerator Conference Portland, OR, May 12-16, 2003

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉 🛃 🐙

^{*}Research supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.



- ⇒ In the currently envisioned configurations for heavy ion fusion (HIF), it is necessary to longitudinally compress the beam bunches by a large factor after the acceleration phase and before the beam particles are focused onto the fusion target.
 - In order to obtain enough fusion energy gain, the peak current for each beam is required to be order 10^{3} A, and the bunch length to be as short as 0.5m.
 - To deliver the beam particles at the required energy, it is both expensive and technically difficult to accelerate short bunches at high current.
- ⇒ The objective of drift compression is to compress a long beam bunch by imposing a negative longitudinal velocity tilt over the length of the beam in the beam frame.

-Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory -



Drift Compression and Final Focus of Heavy Ion Beam

- ⇒ Assume a Cs^+ beam for HIF driver with A = 132.9, q = 1, $(\gamma 1)mc^2 = 2.43 GeV$, $z_{bf} = 0.27$ m, and < I > = 2254A.
- \Rightarrow The goal of drift compression is:

• Length
$$z_b \longrightarrow \times \frac{1}{21.8}$$
. Perveance $K \longrightarrow \times 21.8$.

- \Rightarrow Allowable changes of other system parameters:
 - Velocity tilt $|v_{zb}| \longrightarrow \leq 0.01$.
 - **O** Beam radius $a \longrightarrow \times 2.33$.
 - Half lattice period $L \longrightarrow \times \frac{1}{2}$.
 - Filling factor $\eta \longrightarrow \times 4$. $\eta B' \longrightarrow \times 4$.
- ⇒ The beam pulse need to focused onto a target with 2mm characterisitic size.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





- ➡ Longitudinal Dynamics:
 - What is the dynamics of $z_b(s)$?
 - How long is the beam line? $(s_f = 516m)$
 - How large initial velocity tilt can we afford? $(v_{zb0} = -0.0143)$
 - Space charge effect?
 - Stability? (stable without longitudinal focusing by envelope equation)
- \Rightarrow Transverse Dynamics:
 - Non-periodic lattice design, L(s), B'(s), $\eta(s)$, $\kappa(s)$, K(s).
 - Non-periodic envelope, matched solutions? adiabatically-matched solutions?
- \Rightarrow Final Foucus:
 - How to focus the entire beam onto the target.

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





- \Rightarrow Longitudinal Dynamics:
 - 1D fluid model.
 - Self-similar solutions.
 - Longitudinal envelope equation.
 - Drift compression design.
 - Pulse shaping
- \Rightarrow Transverse Dynamics and Final Focus:
 - Non-periodic lattice design.
 - Time-dependent lattice design.

-Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory -





- \Rightarrow One dimensional fluid model in the beam frame for
 - \bigcirc $\lambda(t,z)$: line density,
 - \circ $v_z(t, z)$: longitudinal velocity,
 - \bigcirc $p_z(t, z)$: longitudinal pressure.
- \Rightarrow g-factor model for electric field.

$$eE_z = -\frac{ge^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z},\tag{1}$$

$$g = 2\ln\frac{r_w}{r_b}.\tag{2}$$

- \Rightarrow Take g and r_b as constants for present purpose.
- \Rightarrow External focusing: $-\kappa_z z$.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





 \Rightarrow In the beam frame:

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\lambda v_z) = 0 \quad \text{(continuity)}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} + \frac{e^2 g}{m\gamma^5} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} + \frac{\kappa_z z}{m\gamma^3} + \frac{r_b^2}{m\gamma^3 \lambda} \frac{\partial p_z}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ (momentum)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_z}{\partial t} + v_z \frac{\partial p_z}{\partial z} + 3p_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = 0 \text{ (energy)}. \tag{5}$$

- \Rightarrow Eqs. (3), (4), and (5) form a nonlinear hyperbolic PDE system. If neglecting κ_z and p_z , Eqs. (3) and (4) have the same form as the shallow-water equations.
- \Rightarrow Eq. (5) is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{p_z}{\lambda^3}) = 0. \tag{6}$$

⇒ Self-similar drift compression schemes preserve the geometric shape of the bunched beam, as well as the density profile, the pressure profile, and the velocity distribution. The nonlinear PDE system, Eqs. (3), (4), and (5), admits at least two self-similar drift compression solutions.



 $\lambda(t,z) = \lambda_b(t), \ v_z(t,z) = -v_{zb}(t)\frac{z}{z_b(t)},\tag{7}$

$$p_z(t,z) = p_{zb}(t) \frac{z^2}{z_b^2(t)}, \ \frac{dz_b(t)}{dt} = -v_{zb}(t).$$
(8)



—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





 \Rightarrow From the continuity equation (3), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_b}\frac{d\lambda_b}{dt} + \frac{1}{z_b}\frac{dz_b}{dt} = 0 \Longrightarrow z_b\lambda_b = const. = N_b/2, \qquad (9)$$

 \Rightarrow From the energy equation (5), we obtain

$$z_b^3 p_{zb} = const. = W. (10)$$

 \Rightarrow Similarly, for the momentum equation (4), the z-dependence drops out as well, giving

$$\frac{d^2 z_b}{ds^2} + \frac{\kappa_z}{m\gamma^3\beta^2c^2} z_b + \frac{\varepsilon_l^2}{z_b^3} = 0, \qquad (11)$$

where $\varepsilon_l \equiv \left(2r_b^2 W/m\gamma^3\beta^2 c^2 N_b\right)^{1/2}$.

- ⇒ Equations (9), (10) and (11) describe the dynamics of the time-dependent variables $\lambda_b(t)$, $z_b(t)$, and $p_{zb}(t)$.
- ⇒ Equation (11) predicts a dramatic compression scenario where the beam longitudinally "implodes" because of the singularity of the (focusing) pressure term in Eq. (11) as $z_b \rightarrow 0$.



$$\lambda(t,z) = \lambda_b(t) \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{z_b^2(t)} \right) , \ v_z(t,z) = -v_{zb}(t) \frac{z}{z_b(t)} , \qquad (12)$$

$$p_z(t,z) = p_{zb}(t) \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{z_b^2(t)}\right)^2, \ \frac{dz_b(t)}{dt} = -v_{zb}(t).$$
(13)



—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory –





 \Rightarrow Substituting Eqs. (12) and (13) into Eqs. (3) and (5), we find that the z-dependence drops out, and

$$\frac{d\lambda_b}{dt} - \frac{v_{zb}}{z_b}\lambda_b = 0, \qquad (14)$$

$$\frac{dp_{zb}}{dt} - 3\frac{v_{zb}}{z_b}p_{zb} = 0.$$
 (15)

⇒ Remarkably, but not surprisingly, for the momentum equation (4), the z-dependence also drops out, giving

$$-\frac{dv_{zb}}{dt} - \frac{e^2g}{m\gamma^5}\frac{2\lambda_b}{z_b} + \frac{\kappa_z z_b}{m\gamma^3} - \frac{4r_b^2 p_{zb}}{m\gamma^3 \lambda_b z_b} = 0$$
(16)

⇒ Eqs. (13) – (16) form a coupled ordinary differential equation (ODE) system. Most remarkably, these equations recover the longitudinal envelope equation. From Eqs. (13), (15), and (14), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_b}\frac{d\lambda_b}{dt} + \frac{1}{z_b}\frac{dz_b}{dt} = 0 \Longrightarrow z_b\lambda_b = const. = \frac{3}{4}N_b \,, \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{1}{p_{zb}}\frac{dp_{zb}}{dt} + \frac{3}{z_b}\frac{dz_b}{dt} = 0 \Longrightarrow z_b^3 p_{zb} = const. = W.$$
(18)

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory —





 \Rightarrow Substituting Eqs. (17), (18) and (13) into Eq. (16), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 z_b}{ds^2} + \frac{\kappa_z}{m\gamma^3\beta^2 c^2} z_b - K_l \frac{1}{z_b^2} - \varepsilon_l^2 \frac{1}{z_b^3} = 0,$$
(19)

where $s = \beta ct$, $K_l \equiv 3N_b e^2 g/2m\gamma^5\beta^2 c^2$ is the effective longitudinal self-field perveance, and $\varepsilon_l \equiv (4r_b^2 W/m\gamma^3\beta^2 c^2)^{1/2}$ is the longitudinal emittance.

 \Rightarrow The longitudinal envelope equation can be integrated once to give

$$(z_{b0}^{\prime 2} - z_{bf}^{\prime 2}) = 2K_l(\frac{1}{z_{bf}} - \frac{1}{z_{b0}}) + \varepsilon_l^2(\frac{1}{z_{bf}^2} - \frac{1}{z_{b0}^2}),$$
(20)

where $z_{b0} = z_b(s = 0)$, $z_{bf} = z_b(s = s_f)$, $z'_{b0} = dz_b/ds(s = 0)$, and $z'_{bf} = dz_b/ds(s = s_f)$.

- \Rightarrow Given $(z_{bf}, z_{b0}, K_l, \varepsilon_l)$, we want (v_{zb0}, v_{zbf}, s_f) to be as small as possible. But
 - \bigcirc Smaller $v_{bz0} \iff \text{Larger } s_f.$
 - \bigcirc Smaller $v_{bzf} \iff \text{Larger } s_f.$

Need to study the trade-off.

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory —





- ⇒ $\varepsilon_l = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ m and $K_z = 2.88 \times 10^{-5}$ m, corresponding to an average final current $\langle I_f \rangle = 2254$ A, $z_{bf} = 0.268$ m, and g = 0.81.
- ⇒ An initial longitudinal focusing force is imposed for $s < 150 \,\mathrm{m}$ so that the beam acquires a velocity tilt $z'_b = -0.0143$ at $s_b = 150 \,\mathrm{m}$.





- ⇒ The parabolic self-similar drift compression solution requires the initial beam pulse shape to be parabolic.
- ⇒ Need to shape the beam pulse into a parabolic form before imposing a velocity tilt.
- ⇒ Need to solve the pulse shaping problem in general finding the initial velocity distribution $V(z) \equiv v_z(t = 0, z)$ such that a given initial pulse shape $\Lambda(z) \equiv \lambda(t = 0, z)$ evolves into a given final pulse shape $\Lambda_T(z) \equiv \lambda(t = T, z)$ at time t = T.
- \Rightarrow Choose the following normalized variables:

$$\overline{v}_z = \frac{v_z}{\beta c}, \ \overline{z} = \frac{z}{z_{b0}}, \ \overline{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{b0}}, \ \overline{t} = \frac{t\beta c}{z_{b0}},$$
 (21)

where z_{b0} is the initial beam half-length, and λ_{b0} is the initial beam line density at the beam center (z = 0).

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





→ In the normalized variables, the one-dimensional fluid equations, neglecting pressure effects and external focusing, are given by

$$\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\lambda v_z) = 0 , \qquad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} + \overline{K}_l \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial z} = 0 , \qquad (23)$$

where $\overline{K}_l \equiv \lambda_{b0} e^2 g / m \gamma^5 \beta^2 c^2$ is the normalized longitudinal perveance.

- \Rightarrow \overline{K}_l will be treated as a small parameter.
- \Rightarrow To order lowest order,

$$\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\lambda v_z) = 0 , \qquad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} + v_z \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = 0 .$$
 (25)

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





➡ Equations (24) and (25) can solved by integrating along characteristics. On the characteristics defined by

$$C: \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = v_z, \tag{26}$$

Equations (24) and (25) are

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = -\lambda \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \,, \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{dv_z}{dt} = 0 \ . \tag{28}$$

⇒ Because $dv_z/dt = 0$ on C, the family of characteristics C are straight lines in the (t, z) plan, which can be represented as

$$C: \ z = \xi + V(\xi)t,$$
 (29)

where

$$V(\xi) \equiv v_z(t=0,\xi). \tag{30}$$

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory –





 \Rightarrow The solution for $v_z(t, z)$ can be formally written as

$$v_z(t,z) = V(\xi(t,z)),$$
 (31)

where $\xi(t, z)$ as a function of t and z is determined from Eq. (29).

 \Rightarrow From Eqs. (31) and (29), four useful identities can be derived, *i.e.*,

$$\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{1 + V'(\xi)t},\tag{32}$$

$$\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} = \frac{-V(\xi)}{1 + V'(\xi)t},\tag{33}$$

$$\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} = \frac{V'(\xi)}{1 + V'(\xi)t},\tag{34}$$

$$\frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} = \frac{-V(\xi)V'(\xi)}{1+V'(\xi)t} \,. \tag{35}$$

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉





 \Rightarrow From Eqs. (27) and (34), we obtain

$$\frac{d\ln\lambda}{dt} = \frac{-V'(\xi)}{1+V'(\xi)t} \quad \text{on } C .$$
(36)

⇒ Since ξ is a constant on C, Eq. (36) can be integrated to give

$$\ln \lambda = \ln \lambda (t = 0, \xi) + \int_0^t \frac{-V'(\xi)}{1 + V'(\xi)t} dt$$
(37)
= $\ln \Lambda(\xi) + \ln[1 + V'(\xi)t],$

where $\Lambda(z) \equiv \lambda(t = 0, z)$ is the initial line density profile. The solution to Eq. (36) for $\lambda(t, z)$ is

$$\lambda(t,z) = \frac{\Lambda(\xi)}{1 + V'(\xi)t} \,. \tag{38}$$

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory —— 🚎 👔





⇒ For the pulse shaping problem, the final line density profile $\Lambda_T(z) \equiv \lambda(t = T, z)$ is specified. We therefore obtain

$$\Lambda_T(z) = \Lambda_T[\xi + V(\xi)T] = \frac{\Lambda(\xi)}{1 + V'(\xi)T}, \qquad (39)$$

which can be viewed as an ordinary differential equation for $V(\xi)$.

⇒ It can be simplified using the variable $U(\xi)$ defined by

$$U(\xi) \equiv \xi + V(\xi)T . \tag{40}$$

In terms of $U(\xi)$, Eq. (39) becomes

$$\Lambda_T(U)dU = \Lambda(\xi)d\xi.$$
(41)

⇒ Finally, $U(\xi)$ is determined by solving Eq. (41) for the given functional forms $\Lambda_T(z)$ and $\Lambda(z)$. $V(\xi)$ is simply related to $U(\xi)$ by

$$V(\xi) = \frac{U(\xi) - \xi}{T} \,. \tag{42}$$

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉





 \rightleftharpoons Consider two examples with the following symmetries and boundary conditions,

$$v_z(t, -z) = -v_z(t, z), \ \lambda(t, -z) = \lambda(t, z), \qquad (43)$$

$$V(\xi = 0) = 0, \ U(\xi = 0) = 0.$$
(44)

⇒ Example 1—Pulse Shaping Without Compression:

$$\Lambda(z) = \begin{cases} 1 - z^m, & 0 \le z \le 1, \\ 0, & 1 < z, \\ \Lambda(-z), & z < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\Lambda_T(z) = \begin{cases} (1 - z^n) \frac{m(n+1)}{n(m+1)}, & 0 \le z \le 1, \\ 0, & 1 < z, \\ \Lambda(-z), & z < 0. \end{cases}$$
(45)

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





 \Rightarrow Equation (41) can integrated to give

$$\left[U(\xi) - \frac{U(\xi)^{n+1}}{n+1}\right] \frac{m(n+1)}{n(m+1)} = \xi - \frac{\xi^{m+1}}{m+1}.$$
(47)

⇒ The parabolic self-similar drift compression solution corresponds to n = 2. In this case, there are three solutions for $U(\xi)$. The solution satisfying the right boundary condition is

$$U(\xi) = -\frac{1 - i\sqrt{3} + \sqrt[3]{-2}p^2}{\sqrt[3]{4}p}, \qquad (48)$$

where

$$p = \sqrt[3]{-3a + \sqrt{-4 + 9a^2}}, \qquad (49)$$

$$a = \frac{2(m+1)}{3m} \left(\xi - \frac{\xi^{m+1}}{m+1}\right) \,. \tag{50}$$

⇒ For large value of $m \gg 1$, $\Lambda(z)$ has a flat-top shape with a fast fall-off near the ends of the pulse.

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉





Example: Pulse Shaping without Compression

⇒ Initial pulse shape $\Lambda(z) = 1 - z^{15}$ and final pulse shape $\Lambda_T(z) = (45/32)(1 - z^2)$ are plotted in (a). The initial velocity V(z) given by Eq. (42) is plotted in (b).



-Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory -





⇒ Example 2—Pulse Shaping With Compression:

$$\Lambda(z) = \begin{cases} 1 - z^m, & 0 \le z \le 1, \\ 0, & 1 < z, \\ \Lambda(-z), & z < 0, \end{cases}$$
(51)
$$\Lambda_T(z) = \begin{cases} [1 - (\alpha z)^n] \frac{\alpha m (n+1)}{n (m+1)}, & 0 \le z \le \frac{1}{\alpha}, \\ 0, & \frac{1}{\alpha} < z, \\ \Lambda(-z), & z < 0, \end{cases}$$
(52)

where $\alpha > 1$ is the compression factor.

 \Rightarrow Equation (41) can be integrated to give

$$\left[\alpha U(\xi) - \frac{(\alpha U(\xi))^{n+1}}{n+1}\right] \frac{m(n+1)}{n(m+1)} = \xi - \frac{\xi^{m+1}}{m+1}, \quad (53)$$

which is identical to Eq. (47) if $\alpha U(\xi)$ is replaced by $U(\xi)$. It is easy to verify that $\alpha U(\xi = 1) = 1$ and therefore

$$V(\xi = 1) = \frac{(1/\alpha - 1)}{T}.$$
 (54)



- ⇒ For the case of a beam being shaped but not compressed, $\alpha = 1$ and $V(\xi = 1) = 0$. When $\alpha > 1$, the beam is simultaneously being shaped and compressed, and $V(\xi = 1) < 0$.
- ⇒ Initial pulse shape $\Lambda(z) = 1 z^{15}$ and final pulse shape $\Lambda_T(z) = (135/32)(1 9z^2)$ are plotted in (a). The initial velocity V(z) given by Eq. (42) is plotted in (b).



-Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory -





 \Rightarrow We now carry out the analysis to $O(\overline{K}_l)$. Let

$$\lambda(t,z) = \lambda_0(t,z) + \lambda_1(t,z), \qquad (55)$$

$$v_z(t,z) = v_{z0}(t,z) + v_{z1}(t,z).$$
(56)

⇒ To $O(\overline{K}_l)$, Eqs. (22) and (23) can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_{0}\lambda_{1} = \frac{\partial\lambda_{1}}{\partial t} + v_{z0}\frac{\partial\lambda_{1}}{\partial z} = -\lambda_{1}\frac{\partial v_{z0}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\lambda_{0}v_{z1}), \quad (57)$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_{0}v_{z1} = \frac{\partial v_{z1}}{\partial t} + v_{z0}\frac{\partial v_{z1}}{\partial z} = -v_{z1}\frac{\partial v_{z0}}{\partial z} - \overline{K}_{l}\frac{\partial\lambda_{0}}{\partial z}. \quad (58)$$

 \Rightarrow Using the method of variational coefficients, the solution to Eq. (58) is found to be

$$v_{z1} = \frac{1}{1 + V_0'(\xi)t} \left\{ V_1(\xi) - \overline{K}_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\Lambda_0(\xi)}{V_0'(\xi)} \ln[1 + V_0'(\xi)t] \right] \right\} .$$
(59)

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉





 \Rightarrow By the same procedure, Eq. (57) can be integrated to give

$$\lambda_{1} = \frac{\Lambda_{1}(\xi)}{1 + V_{0}'(\xi)t} - \frac{1}{1 + V_{0}'(\xi)t} \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_{0}(\xi)V_{1}(\xi)t}{1 + V_{0}'(\xi)t} - \overline{K_{l}}\Lambda_{0}(\xi)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} \left[\frac{\Lambda_{0}(\xi)}{V_{0}'(\xi)} \right] \frac{V_{0}'(\xi)t - \ln[1 + V_{0}'(\xi)t]}{[1 + V_{0}'(\xi)t]^{2}} - \overline{K_{l}}\frac{\Lambda_{0}^{2}(\xi)}{V_{0}'(\xi)}V_{0}''(\xi)\frac{t^{2}}{[1 + V_{0}'(\xi)t]^{2}} \right\}.$$
(60)

 \Rightarrow At time t = T, we obtain

$$\Lambda_T(z) = \lambda_0(t = T, z) + \lambda_1(t = T, z).$$
(61)

Since $\Lambda_T(z)$ and $\Lambda(z)$ are prescribed in the pulse shaping problem, we take $\Lambda_{T1}(z) = 0$ and $\Lambda_1(z) = 0$. This results in

$$V_{1}(\xi) = \overline{K}_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\Lambda_{0}(\xi)}{V_{0}'(\xi)} \right] \frac{V_{0}'(\xi) - \ln[1 + V_{0}'(\xi)T]/T}{1 + V_{0}'(\xi)T}$$
(62)
+ $\overline{K}_{l} \frac{\Lambda_{0}(\xi)}{V_{0}'(\xi)} V_{0}''(\xi) \frac{T}{1 + V_{0}'(\xi)T} + c'.$



 \Rightarrow Transverse envelope equations:

$$\frac{d^2 a(s,z)}{ds^2} + \kappa_q a(s,z) - \frac{2K(s,z)}{a(s,z) + b(s,z)} - \frac{\varepsilon_x^2}{a(s,z)^3} = 0,$$

$$\frac{d^2 b(s,z)}{ds^2} - \kappa_q b(s,z) - \frac{2K(s,z)}{a(s,z) + b(s,z)} - \frac{\varepsilon_y^2}{b(s,z)^3} = 0,$$
 (63)

- \Rightarrow K(s, z) is non-periodic due to the longitudinal compression.
- $\Rightarrow \kappa_q$ need to be non-periodic to reduce the expansion of the beam radius.
- ⇒ Since the quadrupole lattice is not periodic, the concept of a "matched" beam is not well defined.
- ➡ However, if the the non-periodicity is small, that is, if the quadrupole lattice changes slowly along the beam path, we can seek an "adiabatically"matched beam which, by definition, is locally matched everywhere.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉





- \Rightarrow The drift compression and final focus lattice should apply for all slices in a bunched beam.
- \Rightarrow Each slice of the beam should be focused onto the same focal point at the target.
- ⇒ A fixed lattice designed for one slice of the beam will not focus other slices onto the same focal point.
- ⇒ Design a lattice for the central slice (z = 0), and then replace four quadrupole magnets at the beginning of the drift compression by four time-dependent magnets.
- ⇒ The time-dependent magnets essentially provide a slightly different focusing lattice for different slices.





- ➡ Goal:
 - Constant vacuum phase advance $\sigma_v = \pi/5 \longrightarrow \eta B' L^2 = const.$
 - Length $z_b \longrightarrow \times \frac{1}{21.8}$. Perveance $K \longrightarrow \times 21.8$.
 - \bigcirc Beam radius $a \longrightarrow \times 2.33$.
 - Half lattice period $L \longrightarrow \times \frac{1}{2}$.
 - Filling factor $\eta \longrightarrow \times 4$. $\eta B' \longrightarrow \times 4$.
- \Rightarrow How do K, L, η, B', a , and b depend on s?
 - **O** K(s) is given by the longitudinal dynamics.
 - $L(s), \eta(s)$, and B'(s) are determined by requirements such as constant vacuum phase advance.
 - \bigcirc a(s) and b(s) are determined by the transverse envelope equations.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚞





- ⇒ A lattice which keeps both the vacuum phase advance and depressed phase advance constant is less likely to induce beam mismatch.
- \Rightarrow Vacuum phase advance σ_v and depressed phase advance σ are given by

$$2(1 - \cos \sigma_v) = (1 - \frac{2\eta}{3})\eta^2 \left(\frac{B'}{[B\rho]}\right)^2 L^4,$$
(64)

$$\sigma^2 = 2(1 - \cos \sigma_v) - K \left(\frac{2L}{\langle a \rangle}\right)^2.$$
(65)

 \Rightarrow Assuming $\eta \ll 1$, we obtain

$$\eta^2 (\frac{B'}{[B\rho]})^2 L^4 = const., \ K(\frac{2L}{\langle a \rangle})^2 = const., \tag{66}$$

for constant vacuum phase advance and constant depressed phase advance.

 \Rightarrow It is under-determined. As one possible choice, let

$$L = L_0 \exp(-\ln 2\frac{s}{s_f}), \qquad \eta = \eta_0 \exp(2\ln 2\frac{s}{s_f}), \qquad B' = const.$$
(67)

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory -





 \Rightarrow Let the lattice lengths are $L_0, L_1, ..., L_N = L_f$,

$$L_{1} = L_{0} \exp(-\ln 2\frac{2L_{0}}{s_{f}}),$$

$$L_{2} = L_{0} \exp(-\ln 2\frac{2(L_{0} + L_{1})}{s_{f}}),$$
(68)

$$L_{i} = L_{0} \exp(-\ln 2 \frac{2\sum_{0}^{i-1} L_{i}}{s_{f}}),$$

$$2(L_{1} + L_{2} + \dots + L_{N}) = S_{f}.$$

 \Rightarrow For $L_f = 3.36$ m, $L_0 = 6.72$ m, and $s_f = 421.5$ m, calculation gives N = 45.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉





- \Rightarrow For an adiabatically-matched solution,
 - The envelope is locally matched and contains no oscillations other than the local envelope oscillations.
 - On the global scale, the beam radius increases monotonically.
- ⇒ Four final focus magnets will assure that the envelope converge in both directions at the exit of the last focusing magnet
- ⇒ Then the beam enters the neutralization chamber where the space-charge force is neutralized, and is focused onto a focal point at

$$z_{fol} = -\left. \frac{a}{\partial a/\partial s} \right|_{s=s_{ff}} = -\left. \frac{b}{\partial b/\partial s} \right|_{s=s_{ff}} \,, \tag{69}$$

⇒ The transverse spot size is determined by the emittance and incident angle at $s = s_{ff}$,

$$a_{fol} = \left. \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\partial a/\partial s} \right|_{s=s_{ff}} , \ b_{fol} = \left. \frac{\varepsilon_y}{\partial b/\partial s} \right|_{s=s_{ff}} . \tag{70}$$

⇒ For the central slice at z = 0, we obtain $z_{fol} = 5.276 \text{ m}$, and $a_{fol} = b_{fol} = 1.22 \text{ mm}$.

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory —





Transverse Dynamics for Central Slice





For other slices $(z \neq 0)$, we manipulate the beam and magnet configuration so that the beam particles can be focused onto a focal region with the same or smaller spot size,

$$z_{fol} = 5.276 \,\mathrm{m}, \ a_{fol} \approx b_{fol} \lesssim 1.22 \,\mathrm{mm}.$$
 (71)

⇒ For the line density profile $\lambda(s, z) = \lambda_b(s)[1 - z^2/z_b^2(s)]$, that the solution for all of the slices can be scaled down from that of the central slice:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(s,z) \\ b(s,z) \\ \partial a(s,z)/\partial s \\ \partial b(s,z)/\partial s \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{1 - z^2/z_b^2(s)} \begin{pmatrix} a(s,0) \\ b(s,0) \\ \partial a(s,0)/\partial s \\ \partial b(s,0)/\partial s \end{pmatrix},$$
(72)

if the emittance is

- negligibly small or
- scales with the perveance according to $(\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y) \propto 1 z^2/z_b^2(s)$.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚎





- However, the emittance in general is small but not negligible, and does not scale with the perveance.
- In fact, during adiabatic drift compression, the emittance scales with the beam size, i.e., $\varepsilon_x \propto a$ and $\varepsilon_y \propto b$.
- The scaling in Eq. (72) and the requirement in Eq. (71) can't be satisfied.
- Vary the strength of four magnets in the very beginning of the drift compression for different value of z such that the desired scaling in Eq. (72)holds at $s = s_{ff}$.
- This will guarantee the satisfaction of the requirement in Eq. (71). \Box
- Numerically, the necessary variation of the strength of the magnets is found by a 4D root-searching algorithm.
- A small perturbation in the strength of the magnets introduces a small envelope mismatch in such a way that Eq. (72) is satisfied at $s = s_{ff}$.
- We note that a similar scaling does not exist for $0 < s < s_{ff}$.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory — 🚉 🗾 🐙 PPPL











Figure 1: Strengths of the 3rd, 5th, 7th, and 9th magnets as functions of $z/z_b(s)$.

–Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory





- ⇒ The longitudinal dynamics of drift compression and pulse shaping have been studied using a one-dimensional warm-fluid model.
- ⇒ The pulse shaping problem is solved perturbatively in the weak spacecharge limit, such that an arbitrary pulse shape produced after the acceleration phase can be shaped into those required by the self-similar drift compression solutions.
- ⇒ A non-periodic quadrupole lattice for drift compression and four final focusing magnets are designed.
- ⇒ It is demonstrated that the entire pulse can be compressed and focused onto the same focal point on the target by using four time-varying quadrapole magnets at the very beginning of drift compression.

—Heavy Ion Fusion Virtual National Laboratory —

